

*RSIS Commentary is a platform to provide timely and, where appropriate, policy-relevant commentary and analysis of topical and contemporary issues. The authors' views are their own and do not represent the official position of the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, NTU. These commentaries may be reproduced with prior permission from RSIS and due recognition to the author(s) and RSIS. Please email to Mr Yang Razali Kassim, Editor RSIS Commentary at [RSISPublications@ntu.edu.sg](mailto:RSISPublications@ntu.edu.sg).*

*Global Health Security*

## **Tackling COVID-19: Success or Failure of China's Governance?**

*By Anu Anwar*

### **SYNOPSIS**

*China's centrally controlled one-party state was able to implement unprecedented draconian measures, resulting in new cases [declining](#) drastically in [contrast](#) to many parts of the world. Would the world even be facing this crisis if China had allowed the free flow of information and taken action at the beginning?*

### **COMMENTARY**

COVID-19 HAS now spread over [190 countries](#) across all continents, except Antarctica, and has been classified as a global pandemic. This novel coronavirus has created the direst public-health crisis in generations, forcing lockdowns of countries, disrupting the global [economy](#) and restricting [travel](#) — all in just a few months since the disease began to spread outside of China.

As countries are struggling to prevent a similar outbreak, analysing China's response could show the world a path to follow. China's response is particularly relevant at a time when Beijing is placing emphasis on the superiority of the "China model" that prioritises government control over individual freedoms. Such a model has become more evident in the era of President Xi Jinping.

### **Xi Jinping and Deng Xiaoping**

In contrast to paramount leader Deng Xiaoping's "hide and bide" doctrine — which essentially means waiting for the right time and not taking the leading role — Chairman Xi portrays China as a global power that is willing to lead the world with Chinese

solutions ( *中国方案 - Zhongguo Fang'an*), an alternative development model to western-style democracy.

Therefore, the world is watching China's moves very closely as the situation evolves, including with regard to the latest episode of COVID-19.

This pandemic reveals the remarkable dynamics of China's governing system. China has been rebuked for its intolerance of dissidents, suppression of truth and controlling of information. Nevertheless, this pandemic has also revealed the strength of the Chinese system in mobilising resources and capabilities at an unprecedented level, in a way that is needed to rein in the virus.

Experts opined that to tackle a pandemic, the best solution is to share information with the public and take swift measures on the eve of the outbreak. In the Chinese style of governance, the decision is made via a top-down approach.

### **Wuhan & China's Top-down Approach**

At the earlier stage of the outbreak, Wuhan local government [reported](#) the presence of a SARS-like virus to the relevant department, but the higher authorities [decided](#) not to make the information public as an important annual political programme known as "two sessions" was due soon.

Despite the urgency to save thousands of lives, the local government was not allowed to disclose such sensitive information without the authorisation of the central government. Furthermore, China's giant, opaque bureaucracy slowed the back and forth of communication between provincial and central governments.

Ultimately, the Chinese system failed to take any substantial measures after a month since the first case was reported, as early as [mid-November](#).

Wuhan is a city of 11 million people — a population larger than that of Greece or Portugal, and centrally located in Hubei province, which is the gateway for China's rail, road, and waterways. The outbreak happened on the eve of the Chinese New Year — an event that leads to the world's largest annual human migration when Chinese people travel to visit their family and friends during this auspicious celebration.

The news agencies reported that almost [five million](#) Wuhan residents travelled out of Wuhan before the lockdown, some even abroad. Consequently, long before even knowing of the existence of the virus, it is possible that many carriers spread it all over China and other parts of the world. A study conducted by the University of Southampton [shows](#) that if Chinese authorities had acted three weeks earlier than they did, the number of coronavirus cases could have been reduced by 95% and its geographic spread limited.

### **Case of Dr Li Wenliang**

A doctor in Wuhan in his 30s shared the presence of an unknown disease with his colleagues via a WeChat group. China's digital surveillance system did not take long to detect the doctor's message and brought it to the notice of the authorities. Soon

after, police [arrested](#) Dr Li Wenliang for allegedly spreading rumours and forced him to sign a letter denouncing himself for doing so.

He was released soon after, but COVID-19 had become a reality by then. The doctor himself was infected and did not survive the virus. His death, and the unfair treatment he received for a warning that could have potentially saved thousands of lives, led to a wave of protests in Chinese social media.

Despite the tight digital surveillance, the [public outcry](#) over Dr Li's death went viral as millions of Chinese netizens from all walks of life posted this [quote](#) — "A healthy society should not have only one voice."

### **'People's War': China Model Against Pandemics?**

This crisis posed an unprecedented challenge to the Chinese authorities and forced the ruling Communist Party to take draconian measures. The gravity of the pandemic was later [recognised](#) by the high command of the party leadership, who sought to make all-out efforts to contain the spread, designating their efforts as a "[people's war](#)".

Soon after, hundreds of millions of people were put under lockdown for weeks, hospitals were built [within a few weeks](#), the military was deployed, party cadres were mobilised at the grassroot levels, several local officials were [sacked](#) for their negligence and medical supplies were sourced on an emergency basis.

The pandemic has now hit Europe, North America, Asia and beyond, but this public health crisis has also revealed the [shortfall](#) of western governance in replicating the China-style measures, however drastic and draconian, that have been [identified](#) by the WHO as a model to tackle this pandemic.

China, due to the centrally-controlled one-party state, was able to implement such measures effectively. As a result, new cases are [declining](#) drastically in [contrast](#) to many parts of the world. However, one question remains — would the world even be facing this crisis if China had allowed the free flow of information and taken action at the beginning?

---

*Anu Anwar, an affiliate scholar at East-West Center, Hawaii, is a Visiting Fellow at the Institute for Advanced Studies on Asia, the University of Tokyo. He contributed this to RSIS Commentary. This is part of a series.*

---