

The Jakarta Post

OPINION

Cancun: Shifting goals of climate talks

J. Jackson Ewing and Irene A Kuntjoro, S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, Singapore | Mon, 12/27/2010 12:32 PM | Opinion

A | A | A |

The 16th Conference of Parties (COP16) concluded global climate change negotiations in Cancun, Mexico on Dec. 10, 2010 with renewed momentum overcoming the widespread disappointment with the disastrous talks a year ago at Copenhagen.

The Cancun deliberations marked a pronounced shift away from the atmospherics surrounding the infamous 2009 meetings in Copenhagen. COP15 saw the overriding goal of reaching a legally-binding emissions reduction treaty slip away. That objective was immediately shelved in Cancun.

There is uncertainty as to when it can be revived. As Timothy E. Worth, president of the United Nations Foundation, stated near the outset of COP16, "[t]here will not be a comprehensive climate agreement reached this year, or perhaps for years to come".

Worth's sentiments were echoed by ministerial level negotiators. Singapore Senior Minister S. Jayakumar agreed earlier that a "big bang" approach to emissions control would not be possible. Importantly however, these limitations did not paralyze COP16. Rather they freed negotiators and other stakeholders to address issues where agreement appeared more likely.

This freedom of movement led to a series of agreements that, while not addressing the core issues that split the world over climate change, do appear to move the negotiation process forward. Several points of progress in Cancun are noteworthy.

Firstly, negotiators formally supported the UN REDD+ mechanisms to help developing countries to prevent deforestation. (REDD+ is Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation, plus other measures such as conservation and land management).

Secondly, COP16 codified a Green Climate Fund as part of the UN process to help poor and developing countries to adapt to the impacts of climate change and support low-carbon development.

Thirdly, a more advanced discussion on climate adaptation led to the adoption of the Cancun Adaptation Framework and the establishment of an Adaptation Committee to support state-level measures.

Fourthly, agreements were reached to facilitate the flow of low-carbon technologies between developed and developing countries.

Finally, COP16 made progress in the sector of monitoring, reporting and verifying national emissions cuts through standardized self-reporting mechanism and international verification mechanisms.

By moving away from focusing solely on global emissions reduction, the Cancun climate talks were able to place greater emphasis on adaptation measures. They also recognize the importance of reducing vulnerability while building up resilience to the impact of climate change.

Such movement is particularly relevant for Southeast Asia, where unique vulnerabilities across sectors such as disaster management, energy and food security, and sustainable development could all benefit from increasing international support for adaptation measures.

ASEAN has a role to play in bridging international and regional efforts, and could use the momentum from the COP16 to put its 2009 ASEAN Climate Change Initiatives (ACCI) into practice. Strong regional approaches to climate change could in turn help overcome impediments to wider-ranging international climate agreements.

In combination, the mitigation and adaptation measures of COP16 have energized the climate negotiation process. After the relative disappointment of Copenhagen in 2009, statements by participants to the Cancun meetings reveal the potential benefits of focusing on realizable objectives.

In the words of Christiana Figueres, executive secretary of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC): "Cancun has done its job. The beacon of hope has been reignited and faith in the multilateral climate change process to deliver results has been restored."

Outside of the direct UN structures, country leaders also voiced satisfaction, with US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton calling the COP16 outcome a "significant step forward" and European Commissioner Connie Hedegaard claiming that Cancun "helped deliver the outcome the world expected and needed".

More Opinion News

[History of violence kept intact in Indonesia](#)

[Soccer and the building of national unity](#)


[Climate talks and carbon solidarity](#)

[Privatizing democracy: A timely concept](#)

[Euphoria and the national soccer team](#)

[The world in 2011](#)

[+ index](#)



Paper Edition



[SBY asks for rapid disbursement of state budget](#)

[Despite worries, ticket distribution runs smoothly](#)

[Commentary: Focus needed to win, not celebrity status](#)

[Indonesia has no choice but to score first against Malaysia](#)

[AGO confident Yusril will be convicted](#)

[+ index](#)

However, despite these measures of progress and signs of renewed diplomatic vigor, the successes realized at COP16 remained relatively modest. The fundamental differences that separate many primary actors within the UNFCCC process remain pervasive. Diplomatically speaking, the situation is pressing. The Kyoto Protocol, which forms the foundation of contemporary international emissions regulation, is set to expire in 2012; the timeframe in which new measures can be implemented is rapidly closing.

The fact that delegates in Cancun elected not to address this elephant in the room demonstrates that past struggles have lowered the bar of what is perceived as possible — at least in the near-term. One participant observer referred to this shift by stating that “[g]oing into Copenhagen last year, expectations were much too high. This year here in Cancun, they are too low”.

It remains to be seen whether COP17 in South Africa next year will continue the incremental approach that enjoyed relative success in Cancun, or expand these expectations to refocus on the principle yet intractable issues.

What is clear, however, is that 2011 will be a year of redoubled efforts in climate change diplomacy. The outcome of these efforts will be crucial for the future of international climate cooperation.

J. Jackson Ewing is a post-doctoral fellow and Irene A Kuntjoro is an associate research fellow at the Centre for Non-Traditional Security (NTS) Studies of the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies (RSIS), Nanyang Technological University.

Related News >>

- [Editorial: Gracias Cancun](#)
- [UN climate talks seek to avert damaging failure](#)
- [Kyoto row dims prospects at climate talks](#)
- [Remote control, or how not to protect forests](#)

[Post Comments](#) | [Comments \(0\)](#)



Admax supports Eco-Living

Click here for 10 easy things you can do today to go green!



[Life](#) > [Sci-Tech](#) | [Environment](#) | [Body & Soul](#) | [Art & Design](#) | [Culture](#) | [Lifestyle](#) | [Entertainment](#) | [People](#) | [Features](#) |

[News & Views](#) > [Headlines](#) | [National](#) | [Archipelago](#) | [Business](#) | [Jakarta](#) | [World](#) | [Sports](#) | [Special Report](#) | [Opinion](#) | [Readers' forum](#)

[Home](#) | [Company Profile](#) | [Online Media Kit](#) | [Print Media Kit](#) | [Weekender Media Kit](#) | [About Us](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Site Map](#) |

Copyright © 2008 The Jakarta Post - PT Bina Media Tenggara. All Rights Reserved.